

TO: Village of Glenwood Council and Administration

April 15, 2026

RE: Land Use Bylaw 192-2026 proposed amendments  
Public Hearing, April 28, 2026

Although the concept of establishing maximum lot sizes to ONE acre, and therefore restricting people of future land titles amalgamations of adjoining properties easily with the land titles office, could be a sound method in raising both assessment values and consequent tax revenue for the Village, I think we're peaking too soon to have this in our Land Use Bylaw now, or maybe ever. Our main trip wire is infrastructure, (aside from large open space country esthetics preferences by many) we do not have the village fully serviced with potable water and sewer to all existing lots. The tax approach won't work.

Thank you for deleting the word "fraternal"; it took 15 years; however, we finally got there.

Minor contradiction: 37.1 (Section 37) states a development permit is transferable (conditionally) and in 3 other areas states "any permit issued is non-transferable"

Home based businesses (by namesake) need a 'nod' and outlined conditions specified. With fibre optics coming, just about anyone can work administratively & commercially from a home office base. (and not ruffle the neighbours by punching digital keypads)

And finally:

I propose the formal establishment of a Land Use Bylaw Steering Committee (to be reflected in this bylaw) as an active ongoing group of people to accommodate the organic & reflective nature of this law. Land Use Bylaws need to mirror or attempt to match the nature of the people and their desires of use by those who live here. Establishing such a living/ongoing Steering Committee, reporting back to Admin. and Council quarterly, would assist in understanding up and coming needs and concerns. Yes, there is a formal way now for individuals to propose changes in the forms available. What I am proposing is an ongoing conversation with the residents as needs arise to support that formal process from a social, on the ground perspective. A five-member committee of 3 residents and 2 councillors would service. Yes, residents in the majority. Each committee member to be staggered in replacement every 2 years with new people. The public would be encouraged to contact any of those committee members as needed at any time.

More to be said on April 28 in response/support to the items raised by other residents.

Brenda MacMillan  
Village Resident

